

ORIGINAL

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION Commission

2

3

4

6

7

COMMISSIONERS

MIKE GLEASON, Chairman WILLIAM MUNDELL

JEFF HATCH-MILLER KRISTIN K. MAYES 5

GARY PIERCE

DOCKETED

FEB 1 1 2008

DOCKETED BY

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF NORTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN

COCHISE COUNTY, ARIZONA.

9 10

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF SOUTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY FOR A CERTIFICATE OF CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE WATER SERVICE IN

12

11

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

COCHISE COUNTY, ARIZONA.

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF NORTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY

AND SOUTHERN SUNRISE WATER COMPANY FOR THE APPROVAL OF SALE AND

TRANSFER OF WATER UTILITY ASSETS, AND CANCELLATION OF CERTIFICATES OF

MIRACLE VALLEY WATER COMPANY, COCHISE WATER COMPANY, HORSESHOE

CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY, FOR

RANCH WATER COMPANY, CRYSTAL WATER COMPANY, MUSTANG WATER COMPANY,

CORONADO ESTATES WATER COMPANY, AND SIERRA SUNSET WATER COMPANY,

LOCATED IN COCHISE COUNTY, ARIZONA.

DOCKET NO. W-20453A-06-0247

DOCKET NO. W-20454A-06-0248

DOCKET NOS. W-20453A-06-0251 W-20454A-06-0251 W-01646A-06-0251 W-01868A-06-0251 W-02235A-06-0251 W-02316A-06-0251 W-02230A-06-0251 W-01629A-06-0251 W-02240A-06-0251

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

In Decision No. 68826 (June 29, 2006), the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") approved the sale and transfer of assets, and cancellation of Certificates of Convenience and Necessity ("CC&N"), of Miracle Valley Water Company, Cochise Water Company, Horseshoe Ranch Water Company, Crystal Water Company, Mustang Water Company, Coronado Estates Water Company and Sierra Sunset Water Company (collectively "McLain Water Systems") to Northern Sunrise Water Company and Southern Sunrise Water Company (collectively "Applicants").

26

27

28

In Decision No. 68826, the Commission made the following Findings of Fact concerning the Applicants' CC&N boundaries:

Updating CC&N

- 102. In their applications, Applicants raised the issue that there are currently customers on some of the McLain Systems that are located outside the existing CC&Ns of the systems. Applicants note that consequently, the area covered by the CC&N request will not likely include every customer served by the existing systems. Applicants state they will continue to ascertain the location of customers and provide subsequent legal descriptions to Staff so the CC&N maps can be updated. (Northern Application at ¶ 12; Southern Application at ¶ 11.)
- 103. In its Amended Staff Report, Staff recommends that no later than December 31, 2007, Northern and/or Southern file applications for approval to extend their CC&Ns to areas being served outside of the CC&N approved in this case.
- Applicants continue to believe that the most efficient means of bringing customers who are currently on the McLain Systems and receiving service, but who are outside the boundaries of the CC&Ns, is for Applicants to file legal descriptions in this docket once such customer has been identified so that true and correct boundaries for each respective water service area can be established. Applicants are concerned that the additional CC&N proceedings envisioned by Staff's recommendation are unnecessary, and the regulatory burden of future approvals is not warranted. Applicants state that to the extent there are customers currently receiving water service outside Northern and Southern's proposed CC&Ns, such customers are the result of the previous owner's violation of Arizona law, including the Commission's rules and regulations. Applicants argue that they should not be burdened with the costs of further CC&N proceedings when the matter can be handled administratively. Applicants agree, however, to provide the Commission with all necessary legal descriptions to establish a more accurate CC&N area for Northern and Southern by December 31, 2007.
- 105. Staff does not object to updating the CC&Ns to include current customers who are outside CC&N boundaries without a hearing if it is possible to do so legally. (TR at 218) Staff is concerned that any modification of CC&N boundaries be reasonable and logical.
- 106. We believe that the CC&N boundaries of Northern and/or Southern may be able to be updated to include customers currently receiving service without a hearing if no interested party after notice requests such hearing. At this point in time, we do not know where these customers are physically located, and cannot determine whether we can merely update the CC&N map without additional proceedings. These customers may be located within the territory of another public service corporation, or if they are not currently receiving a bill, may not have received notice of this proceeding. In addition, there may be properties that are not currently receiving service, but which should logically be included within the Northern or Southern CC&N boundaries. We believe that Staff's recommendation is the only one that is workable. Depending on the location and circumstances of these customers, the Commission

may be able to amend the CC&Ns without a hearing, however, only Applicants future filing will give us sufficient information to make that determination. In the meantime, Applicants should continue to serve all existing customers of the McLain Systems regardless of their locations.

The Commission ordered the Applicants to file by December 31, 2007, "applications for approval to extend their CC&N's to areas being serviced outside of the CC&N approved in this case."

On January 3, 2008, Applicants filed "Compliance with Decision No. 68826." By their filing, Applicants seek to amend their CC&Ns pursuant to Decision No. 68826. Applicants contend that their filing "requires only an administrative review of the applicable maps and legal descriptions to determine two core issues: (1) that service has already been established in the Applicants' proposed amended areas; and (2) the inclusion of areas where service is currently not being provided is reasonable and logical, and therefore in the public interest." Applicants request a Procedural Conference to consider and act upon their request.

Unusual circumstances led to the relevant portion of Decision 68826 relating to the extension of the Applicants' CC&Ns. The resulting compliance filing/application is unique, and has been filed outside the Commission's normal process for CC&N extensions. A Procedural Conference will enable the Commission to determine how best to proceed with the Applicants' request in a timely manner.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that a **telephonic Procedural Conference** shall commence on **February 27, 2008, at 10:00 a.m.**, or an soon thereafter as is practical, at the Commission's Tucson office, Room 218, 400 West Congress, Tucson, Arizona 85701. To participate, parties shall call the following number at the appointed time: **602 542-9003**.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Presiding Officer may rescind, alter, amend, or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at hearing.

DATED this \(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \) day of February, 2008.

JANE L. RODDA ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

1	Copies of the foregoing mailed this 84h day of February, 2008 to:
2	Mr. Jay Shapiro
3	Mr. Patrick Black Fennemore Craig, PC
4	3003 North Central Avenue Suite 2600
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Attorneys for Applicants
6	Mr. Steven Cockrum
7	5328 Corral Dr. Hereford, Arizona 85635
8	Mr. Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel
9	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
10	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
11	Mr. Ernest Johnson, Director
12	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
13	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, Arizona 85007
14 15	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC. 2200 N. Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1481
16	1 Hooma, 2 1120111 05 00 4-1401
17	
18	By: function / OM Juanita E. Gomez
19	/Secretary to Jane L. Rodda
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

28